## JUDICIAL CANDIDATE RATINGS

The following is the Cincinnati Bar Association's 2019 Hamilton County Judicial Candidate Poll. The survey was distributed to over 3,000 members of the Cincinnati legal community and provides valuable insights and observations about the local judicial candidates from members of the legal community that interact with them professionally.

	Total	No	% No	Responses with Contact	Excellent		Above Average		Average		Below Average		Unknown	
	Responses		% INO Contact		Responses	% of Contact	Responses	% of Contact	Responses	% of Contact	Responses	% of Contact	Responses	% of Contact
Gwendolyn M. Bender	215	142	66	73										
Administrative Diligence					40	55%	12	16%	8	11%	2	3%	11	15%
Communication Skills					52	71%	12	16%	4	5%	3	4%	2	3%
Community Engagement					40	55%	12	16%	6	8%	2	3%	13	18%
Integrity / Impartiality / Objectivity					46	63%	П	15%	8	11%	6	8%	2	3%
Legal Experience					46	63%	18	25%	3	4%	3	4%	3	4%
Professionalism / Temperament					50	68%	8	11%	8	11%	5	7%	2	3%
Joshua A. Berkowitz	215	123	57%	92										
Administrative Diligence					44	48%	22	24%	12	13%	2	2%	12	13%
Communication Skills					44	48%	25	27%	19	21%	2	2%	2	2%
Community Engagement					44	48%	16	17%	8	9%	I	1%	23	25%
Integrity / Impartiality / Objectivity					46	50%	21	23%	15	16%	7	8%	3	3%
Legal Experience					38	41%	29	32%	14	15%	3	3%	8	9%
Professionalism / Temperament					49	53%	20	22%	14	15%	7	8%	2	2%
Theodore N. Berry	215	142	66%	73										
Administrative Diligence					15	21%	18	25%	21	29%	11	15%	8	11%
Communication Skills					23	32%	26	36%	17	23%	5	7%	2	3%
Community Engagement					26	36%	9	12%	15	21%	5	7%	18	25%
Integrity / Impartiality / Objectivity					24	33%	24	33%	14	19%	7	10%	4	5%
Legal Experience					20	27%	29	40%	13	18%	5	7%	6	8%
Professionalism / Temperament					23	32%	19	26%	16	22%	11	15%	4	5%
Kari Bloom	215	180	84%	35										
Administrative Diligence					15	43%	5	14%	4	11%	0	0%	П	31%
Communication Skills					21	60%	7	20%	4	11%	I	3%	2	6%
Community Engagement					14	40%	5	14%	3	9%	3	9%	10	29%
Integrity / Impartiality / Objectivity					19	54%	6	17%	3	9%	2	6%	5	14%
Legal Experience					14	40%	6	17%	9	26%	3	9%	3	9%
Professionalism / Temperament					22	63%	3	9%	5	14%	0	0%	5	14%

## JUDICIAL CANDIDATE RATINGS

	Total Responses	N.	9/ N.I	Responses with Contact	Excellent		Above Average		Average		Below Average		Unkn	own
		No Contact	% No Contact		Responses	% of Contact	Responses	% of Contact	Responses	% of Contact	Responses	% of Contact	Responses	% of Contact
Janaya Trotter Bratton	215	160	74%	55										
Administrative Diligence					17	31%	13	24%	5	9%	4	7%	16	29%
Communication Skills					26	47%	18	33%	3	5%	7	13%	I	2%
Community Engagement					34	62%	8	15%	2	4%	2	4%	9	16%
Integrity / Impartiality / Objectivity					23	42%	13	24%	6	11%	8	15%	5	9%
Legal Experience					24	44%	14	25%	7	13%	7	13%	3	5%
Professionalism / Temperament					25	45%	13	24%	9	16%	6	11%	2	4%
John K. Coleman	215	180	84%	35										
Administrative Diligence					П	31%	10	29%	4	11%	2	6%	8	23%
Communication Skills					14	40%	15	43%	4	11%	I	3%	I	3%
Community Engagement					16	46%	7	20%	2	6%	I	3%	9	26%
Integrity / Impartiality / Objectivity					19	54%	9	26%	2	6%	1	3%	4	11%
Legal Experience					17	49%	6	17%	5	14%	2	6%	5	14%
Professionalism / Temperament					26	74%	6	17%	I	3%	ı	3%	I	3%
John Kennedy	215	172	80%	43										
Administrative Diligence					24	56%	5	12%	4	9%	3	7%	7	16%
Communication Skills					24	56%	П	26%	5	12%	3	7%	0	0%
Community Engagement					16	37%	5	12%	5	12%	2	5%	15	35%
Integrity / Impartiality / Objectivity					23	53%	11	26%	7	16%	2	5%	0	0%
Legal Experience					24	56%	6	14%	9	21%	4	9%	0	0%
Professionalism / Temperament					24	56%	10	23%	7	16%	2	5%	0	0%
Dwane K. Mallory	215	133	62%	82										
Administrative Diligence					38	46%	21	26%	14	17%	5	6%	4	5%
Communication Skills					45	55%	21	26%	8	10%	5	6%	3	4%
Community Engagement					40	49%	15	18%	10	12%	2	2%	15	18%
Integrity / Impartiality / Objectivity					51	62%	10	12%	14	17%	4	5%	3	4%
Legal Experience					46	56%	17	21%	- 11	13%	5	6%	3	4%
Professionalism / Temperament					55	67%	12	15%	7	9%	5	6%	3	4%
Elisa Murphy	215	185	86%	30										
Administrative Diligence					10	33%	5	17%	6	20%	3	10%	6	20%
Communication Skills					11	37%	8	27%	4	13%	7	23%	0	0%
Community Engagement					11	37%	5	17%	0	0%	2	7%	12	40%
Integrity / Impartiality / Objectivity					11	37%	6	20%	5	17%	5	17%	3	10%
Legal Experience					10	33%	5	17%	8	27%	2	7%	5	17%
Professionalism / Temperament					12	40%	9	30%	ı	3%	8	27%	0	0%

## JUDICIAL CANDIDATE RATINGS

	Total Responses	N.	% No Contact	Responses with Contact	Excellent		Above Average		Average		Below Average		Unkn	own
		No Contact			Responses	% of Contact	Responses	% of Contact	Responses	% of Contact	Responses	% of Contact	Responses	% of Contact
Michael N. Peck	215	169	79%	46										
Administrative Diligence					25	54%	8	17%	6	13%	0	0%	7	15%
Communication Skills					29	63%	12	26%	5	11%	0	0%	0	0%
Community Engagement					27	59%	8	17%	3	7%	0	0%	8	17%
Integrity / Impartiality / Objectivity					31	67%	7	15%	6	13%	I	2%	I	2%
Legal Experience					30	65%	12	26%	4	9%	0	0%	0	0%
Professionalism / Temperament					35	76%	6	13%	4	9%	I	2%	0	0%
Heather Stein Russell	215	Ш	52%	104										
Administrative Diligence					55	53%	22	21%	12	12%	4	4%	11	11%
Communication Skills					58	56%	22	21%	17	16%	7	7%	0	0%
Community Engagement					57	55%	16	15%	10	10%	2	2%	19	18%
Integrity / Impartiality / Objectivity					53	51%	15	14%	20	19%	15	14%	I	1%
Legal Experience					60	58%	24	23%	14	13%	3	3%	3	3%
Professionalism / Temperament					57	55%	12	12%	19	18%	15	14%	1	1%
Arica Underwood	215	177	82%	38										
Administrative Diligence					7	18%	10	26%	8	21%	4	11%	9	24%
Communication Skills					П	29%	14	37%	9	24%	2	5%	2	5%
Community Engagement					10	26%	10	26%	I	3%	2	5%	15	39%
Integrity / Impartiality / Objectivity					10	26%	15	39%	8	21%	3	8%	2	5%
Legal Experience					12	32%	14	37%	10	26%	2	5%	0	0%
Professionalism / Temperament					14	37%	10	26%	9	24%	3	8%	2	5%
Tyrone Yates	215	135	63%	80										
Administrative Diligence					26	33%	16	20%	26	33%	5	6%	7	9%
Communication Skills					41	51%	26	33%	П	14%	I	1%	I	1%
Community Engagement					41	51%	10	13%	9	11%	0	0%	20	25%
Integrity / Impartiality / Objectivity					39	49%	24	30%	12	15%	I	1%	4	5%
Legal Experience					35	44%	29	36%	П	14%	3	4%	2	3%
Professionalism / Temperament					49	61%	22	28%	8	10%	0	0%	I	1%